

Guide for reviewers on [ConfTool Platform](https://www.conftool.pro/cigre-Paris2026)

Your Study Committee Chair appointed you as Reviewer for the CIGRE Paris Session 2026.

You will have the possibility to access, download and review Full Papers that have been assigned to you. You will also enter your review results and comments to authors on an online form directly on the platform <https://www.conftool.pro/cigre-Paris2026>

Nevertheless, prior to the Full Papers reviewing, you should indicate your fields of expertise if not done yet.

This information will help the SC Chairs to assign submissions matching your interests. It is therefore important for the quality of the review process.

1. If you are a new-appointed reviewer, **you should confirm the confidentiality agreement from the homepage on first log in.** You should also select your **priority topic(s) –one at least – PS1, PS2 or PS3.** Priority topics are the preferential subjects –usually 2 or 3 for each Study Committees. New preferential subjects are determined by Study Committees for each Session. You may also indicate the maximum number of Full Papers you can review.
2. As reviewer you have also access to the **"bidding for papers" function.** You will so indicate which submissions you would like or not like to review. You can also state personal conflicts of interest to prevent submissions being assigned to you. **Kindly note that the "bidding" function is not necessary when submissions have been already assigned to you for evaluation. During the assignment phase, Chairs will combine your priority topics and consideration of submissions you selected with the "bidding" function with the need to balance the distribution between reviewers.**

Please log in on <https://www.conftool.pro/cigre-Paris2026> and select the corresponding link from the overview page.

After logging, please click on Reviewer Confidentiality Agreement and confirm for your access to the submissions.

Welcome, [REDACTED]

You are logged in as user [REDACTED]

You can select from the following options:

 **Show User Account Details**

Here you can access the personal data of your user account.

 **Edit User Account Details**

Here you can update your personal user data.

 **Exit and Switch Back**

Exit current session and **switch back** to the account of "Sylvie BOURNEUF".

You are reviewer or member of the program committee (PC member). You currently have the following options:

 **Select Priority Topics**

Define your areas of expertise to facilitate the assignment of suitable contributions.

You have already selected 0 priority topics.

▶ **Maximum Number of Reviews**

You may state a maximum number of submissions assigned to you for reviewing.

▶ **Reviewer Confidentiality Agreement**

You have to confirm the "Reviewer Confidentiality Agreement" before you can access any of the submissions.

Welcome, [REDACTED]

You are logged in as user [REDACTED]

You can select from the following options:



Show User Account Details

Here you can access the personal data of your user account.



Edit User Account Details

Here you can update your personal user data.



Exit

Exit

You are reviewer or member of the programme committee (PC member). You currently have the following options:



Select Priority Topics

Define your areas of expertise to facilitate the assignment of suitable contributions.

You have already selected 0 priority topics.



Maximum Number of Reviews

You may state a maximum number of submissions assigned to you for reviewing.



Bid for Contributions

Please choose which contributions you would like to review and where you have a potential conflict of interests.



Enter and Edit Reviews

Here you can access the contributions that were assigned to you and enter your reviews.

0 contributions were assigned to you. You already entered 0 reviews.

I. Select priority topic(s)

Please select PS1, PS2 or PS3 – one at least.

Overview > Select Priority Topics



Select Priority Topics

Here the predefined priority topics can be assigned to the reviewers / members of the programme committee. This facilitates the assignment of contributions to suitable experts.

Organisation / Company:

Department:

Country: France

E-Mail:

1000

Select Priority Topics

At least one priority topic has to be selected from the list in total.

* Topics

PS1 - Flexibility Management in Distribution Networks

PS2 - Power Electronic based Solutions for Smart Distribution Systems

PS3 - Rural, Islanded and Industrial Electrification Standards, Practices and Technology

Options

Save selection

Cancel

II. Bid for Contribution

You see a list of all submitted Full Papers for your Study Committee. Here you can select submissions you would favour to review and state what submissions are probably not covered well by your areas of expertise.

Please also use this function to state personal "conflicts of interest", i.e., mark those submissions you cannot review objectively (e.g. you were involved in the research project, or you are affiliated to the authors).

We recommend you take part in the bidding process, as it greatly increases the chance that synopses/papers will be assigned for review to you that match your interests.

Overview > Bid for Contributions ? 🖨️ ↓

Bid for Contributions

You may now select the contributions you would like to review and not like to review. You may also choose up to **30 "much wanted"** contributions for reviewing. We try to take your preferences into consideration.

Furthermore, **please indicate, where you have potential conflict of interests**, i.e. state those submissions you were directly or indirectly involved in.

Priority Topics: B5 PS1 - Practical Experiences and new Developments of Process Bus, B5 PS2 - Acceptance, Commissioning, and Field Testing for Protection, Automation and Control Systems

Biddings: much wanted: 0 - would like: 0 - uncertain: 0 - would dislike: 0 - conflict: 0

Filter by Topic ▼

Per Page: ▼

1 2

Save Data

160 Entries on the List

Page 1 of 2

Title of Contribution	Matching topics	Bidding
B5 PROTECTION AND AUTOMATION - Synopses A comprehensive approach towards implementing the Process Bus based Substation Automation system in Substations and its benefits. <i>Author(s):</i> GANDOTRA*, Vikram; TOOGNAZZI, Laurent; EHTISHAM, Hamza; RASTOGI, Nimish <i>Submitted by:</i> DUTT, Vishan (CIGRE-INDIA) <i>Topics:</i> B5 PS1 - Practical Experiences and new Developments of Process Bus	Matching topics: 1	much wanted <input type="radio"/> would like <input type="radio"/> uncertain <input type="radio"/> would dislike <input type="radio"/> conflict <input type="radio"/>
B5 PROTECTION AND AUTOMATION - Synopses A consistency validation Tool for IEC 61850 Substation System Integration Configuration <i>Author(s):</i> WU, Yiming <i>Submitted by:</i> STJERNFELDT, Susanne (Energiforsk AB) <i>Topics:</i> B5 PS2 - Acceptance, Commissioning, and Field Testing for Protection, Automation and Control Systems	Matching topics: 1	much wanted <input type="radio"/> would like <input type="radio"/> uncertain <input type="radio"/> would dislike <input type="radio"/> conflict <input type="radio"/>
B5 PROTECTION AND AUTOMATION - Synopses A Consultant's Experience in the UCA International Users Group Interoperability Tests <i>Author(s):</i> GRAY, Keith (1); KARIMI, Sina (2); DYER, Chris (1) <i>Submitted by:</i> MCDONALD, John Douglas (GE Vernova) <i>Topics:</i> B5 PS2 - Acceptance, Commissioning, and Field Testing for Protection, Automation and Control Systems <i>Keywords:</i> Digital Substation, IEC 61850, UCAlug, IOP	Matching topics: 1	much wanted <input type="radio"/> would like <input type="radio"/> uncertain <input type="radio"/> would dislike <input type="radio"/> conflict <input type="radio"/>

III. Enter Reviews

Based on the reviewers' fields of interest and their biddings, the chairs will assign submissions to the reviewers. Reviewers can now begin their evaluations.

To access the submissions assigned to you for reviewing, please log in to your ConfTool account and select "Enter and Edit Reviews" in the menu.

Here you can access the full Papers and download the PDF files if needed. If you want to download several PDF files in one go, follow this procedure:

1. Go to the list of all reviews assigned to you ("Enter and Edit Reviews").
2. If applicable, you can apply a filter to limit the reviews.
3. In the box "Actions" on right-hand side, click on "Save files of current page as ZIP" to start the download.

After reading the full Papers, please enter your review result (using your Web browser) into the provided online forms. There are categories to score each contribution. You may use the print option of ConfTool and your Web browser to print out abstracts and review forms.

Please save your review in time, since **there is a session timeout (usually 2 hours)**, after which unsaved modifications will be lost. You can update your review at any time before the deadline.

Reviews will not be made visible to authors until the review process is finished and the final acceptance status has been decided by the chairs based on all evaluations.

Enter and Edit Reviews

Now you may access the conference contributions assigned to you for reviewing. Please enter your results before the end of the reviewing phase. During this phase it is also possible to print and edit your entries.

If you are unable to evaluate all submissions assigned to you, please notify the chairs of the conference as soon as possible so that they can allocate new or additional reviewers. You can also suggest other experts as reviewers if you like. To do so, please send their contact details to the chairs.

Please note that the submissions you are evaluating are unpublished work of other authors. Their intellectual property rights and your professional ethics require that you do not disclose the contents of these submissions or part of them to others and that you treat them as confidential.

Actions

Save Files of Current Page as ZIP

Filter Submissions Assigned to You for Evaluation

Filter by Topic of Submission

- All topics: do not filter [4 Reviews]
- C5 PS1 - Characteristics of a Resilient Market and its Regulatory Regime [2 Reviews]
- C5 PS2 - Preparing for the Future with Moving Targets [1 Reviews]
- C5 PS3 - Emerging Markets and Forms of Markets [1 Reviews]

506

C5 ELECTRICITY MARKETS AND REGULATION - Synopses

CONCEPT Future Electricity Market Design to Ensure Resilient and Efficient Operations
 VAN PUTTEN, Jan (1); THORPE, Greg (2); GING, John (3); PANDEY, Vivek (4); JOUNDI, Zak (5);
 CHOPRA, Anisha (4); MUKHERJEE, Gourav (4); BOGAS, Juan (6); ANVARI-MOGHADDAM, Amjad (7);
 KLAAR, Danny (1)
 Organisation(s): 1: TenneT TSO B.V.; 2: Oakley Greenwood; 3: Eirgrid; 4: Posoco; 5: Miso; 6: OMIE; 7:
 Aalborg university

1st file  Contribution_506.pdf (22nd Aug 2023, 09:56:42am CEST)

Time left to submit the review: 39 days 7 hours, Deadline: 5th Nov 2023, 11:59:59pm CEST

Acceptance status as shown to authors:

The decision whether this contribution will be accepted or not is still pending.

- Contribution Details
- Send E-Mail to Programme Committee
- **Enter Review**
- Upload File for Author
- Refuse to Review

1678

C5 ELECTRICITY MARKETS AND REGULATION - Synopses

Implementation of Market Based Tertiary Reserve Ancillary Services in the Indian Power System
 CHILUKURI*, Phanisankar; REHMAN, Saif; MUKHERJEE, Subhendu
 Organisation(s): Grid-India, India

1st file  Contribution_1678.pdf (25th Aug 2023, 12:42:22pm CEST)

Time left to submit the review: 39 days 7 hours, Deadline: 5th Nov 2023, 11:59:59pm CEST

Acceptance status as shown to authors:

The decision whether this contribution will be accepted or not is still pending.

- Contribution Details
- Send E-Mail to Programme Committee
- **Enter Review**
- Upload File for Author
- Refuse to Review

1705

C5 ELECTRICITY MARKETS AND REGULATION - Synopses

Analysis of Tradeoffs in Winter's Potential Western Balkans Electricity Supply Crisis
 MAJSTROVIC, Goran (1); ISLIC, Lucija (1); BALIC, Drazen (1); ORLIC, Dragana (2); KOSTIC, Matija (2);
 MILJENIC, Nemanja (2); ROSEMAN, Elliot (3)
 Organisation(s): 1: Energy Institute Hrvoje Pozar, Croatia; 2: EKC, Serbia; 3: United States Energy
 Association, USA

1st file  Contribution_1705.pdf (25th Aug 2023, 03:52:49pm CEST)

Time left to submit the review: 39 days 7 hours, Deadline: 5th Nov 2023, 11:59:59pm CEST

Acceptance status as shown to authors:

The decision whether this contribution will be accepted or not is still pending.

- Contribution Details
- Send E-Mail to Programme Committee
- **Enter Review**
- Upload File for Author
- Refuse to Review

Submit Review

Please use this form to submit your review.

For each criterion you can award certain points. The contribution to the final rating depends on the topic of the conference.

Note that a ConfTool session is limited to two hours.

Contribution Details

Information on the Contribution

Contribution ID	506
Submission Type / Conference Track	C5 ELECTRICITY MARKETS AND REGULATION - Synopses
Title	CONCEPT Future Electricity Market Design to Ensure Resilient and Efficient Operations
Author(s)	VAN PUTTEN, Jan (1); THORPE, Greg (2); GING, John (3); PANDEY, Vivek (4); JOUNDI, Zak (5); CHOPRA, Anisha (4); MUKHERJEE, Gourav (4); BOGAS, Juan (6); ANVARI-MOGHADDAM, Amjad (7); KLAAR, Danny (1)
Assigned topics	C5 PS1 - Characteristics of a Resilient Market and its Regulatory Regime

Contribution of the Submission Please outline briefly the main contribution of this submission.

Awarding of Points Based on Various Criteria

Quality of Content 10%

- 10 - Excellent work and a significant contribution
- 08 - Good work, significant
- 06 - Solid work
- 04 - Weak content
- 02 - Only an insignificant contribution
- 00 - Questionable work

* Significance for Theory or Practice 10%

- 10 - Very significant
- 08 - Significant
- 06 - Not bad
- 04 - Low significance
- 02 - Only of marginal significance
- 00 - Absolutely insignificant

* Originality and Level of Innovativeness 10%

- 10 - Groundbreaking
- 08 - A pioneer work
- 06 - One step forward
- 04 - Better works on the same topic exist
- 02 - This has been said several times

You may now enter your score for the selected full Papers according to different criteria and categories.

Decisive Overall Recommendation

* Overall recommendation
50%

- 10 - Definitely accept (very high quality)
- 09
- 08 - Probably accept (good quality)
- 07
- 06 - Lean towards acceptance (borderline quality)
- 05
- 04 - Lean towards rejection (low quality)
- 03
- 02 - Probably reject (minor quality)
- 01
- 00 - Definitely reject (has no merit)

Comments on the Submission

* Comments for the Authors

Please explain your evaluation in a detailed and clear manner. Point out strengths and weaknesses of the submitted contribution. Please also provide suggestions for improvement and use an objective and constructive writing style.

Information for the programme committee

Best Paper Award Do you think this submission is a candidate for the best paper award?

Internal comments

These comments are only for the chairs and members of the programme committee (PC) and will not be passed on to authors.

Submit Review

Save as Draft

You may leave also comments to authors, as well as internal comments – for your Chair only. Once completed click on “submit review.”

According to your evaluations, Study Committee Chairs will take their final decision for each full Paper – accepted, rejected, accepted with reservation - an updated version from authors is required then.

They may add further comments to authors.

Selection results will be available online, but also communicated to authors by mass mailing by CIGRE Central Office.

Formal notification of acceptance or non-acceptance for full Papers is due on May 11th and will be sent by Central Office.

Thank you for your attention!

In case of difficulties regarding ConfTool utilisation, please contact sylvie.bourneuf@cigre.org